1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MICHAEL MAURICE McMAHILL, ) NO. CV 08-7188-AHM(E) 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, v. 14 CMO DR. T. BZOSKIE, et al., CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 Defendants. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636, the Court has reviewed the 18 19 Petition, all of the records herein and the attached Report and 20 Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. The Court 21 approves and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and 22 Recommendation. 23 24 IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Report and Recommendation of the 25 Magistrate Judge is approved and adopted; and (2) the First Amended Complaint is dismissed with leave to amend. If Plaintiff still 26 27 wishes to pursue this action, he is granted thirty (30) days from the date of this Order within which to file a Second Amended

28

Complaint. Any Second Amended Complaint shall be complete in itself. Plaintiff should not attempt to add additional defendants without leave of Court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 21. Failure timely to file a Second Amended Complaint may result in dismissal of the action.

DATED: April 15, 2009

A. HOWARD MATZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE